

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,538	11/24/2003	Jean-Michel Bernardon	1034227-000650	1815	
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 09/29/200 INGERSOLL & ROO		EXAM	IINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 QAZI, SABIHA NAIM			IHA NAIM		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/29/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/718,538	BERNARDON, J	EAN-MICHEL				
miorrion cummury	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sabiha Qazi	1612					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Sabiha N. Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner).	(3)						
(2) Gary D. Mangels (Attorney).	(4)						
Date of Interview: 10 September 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed:							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Mangels called and discussed in detail the staus of claims and rejection. Few possible amendments in claim 6 and 7 were discussed. Examiner pointed out "derivative" claim 7 which may be deleted or amended. Examiner also discussed "pharmaceutically acceptable support" in claim 6. Mr. Mangels authorized the Examiner to amend the claims when agreement is reached for claims 6 and 7. Mr. Mangels agreed to delete "derivatives" from claim 7.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO				
/Satiha Qazi/							
Primary Examiner. Art Unit 1612			- 1 5				